From: White, Elizabeth (CPC)

Sent: Friday, June 07, 2019 2:43 PM

To: Paine, Carli (MTA)

Cc: Wietgrefe, Wade (CPC); Poling, Jeanie (CPC)

Subject: RE: Balboa Reservoir: Planning responses to SFMTA comments on ADEIR-2

Attachments: Re Balboa Reservoir - question from James.pdf

Hi Carli

Please see responses below in red to your questions.

Also, two updates regarding June Balboa submittals:

- We received an update at our Balboa Reservoir meeting yesterday that the project sponsor team will provide non-CEQA transportation analyses for City review during the week of June 24, 2019. The analyses consist of five technical memos (approximately four pages each). We will track these on our SFMTA tracking sheet updates that we send to you and Charles.
- Amanda will be submitting a draft of the new cumulative transit delay mitigation measure early next week. We
 are thinking this will be approximately one to two pages. We would like to send this measure to SFMTA for
 review and request comments on the measure three business days after submittal, so it can be rolled into the
 screencheck document.

Thank you, Liz

From: Paine, Carli < <u>Carli.Paine@sfmta.com</u>> Sent: Wednesday, June 05, 2019 10:06 PM

To: White, Elizabeth (CPC) < elizabeth.white@sfgov.org>

Cc: Wietgrefe, Wade (CPC) <wade.wietgrefe@sfgov.org>; Poling, Jeanie (CPC) <jeanie.poling@sfgov.org>

Subject: RE: Balboa Reservoir: Planning responses to FMTA comments on ADEIR-2

Hi Liz-

Which items do you wish had been flagged earlier? Please provide more details.

Here are a few examples:

- Tony inquired whether the counts/observations were made while Riordan and SFUSD were in session. I went
 back through my records and to my knowledge, no such comment appears to have been brought up during
 scoping.
- Tony had two questions about how loading demand is calculated. If there were any questions about how loading demand is calculated, or considerations outside of the TIA Guidelines, we would appreciate it if SFMTA flagged this during the review of the SOW or travel demand memo. Fortunately, we had answers to the questions, but we would prefer to vet any concerns/questions earlier on.
- Several comments requested text changes of text that was not modified from ADEIR-1 to ADEIR-2. For example, Jessica's comments on Table 3-B-7 and Tony requested edits to Muni Forward list/write up.

With regard to Tony's note re Plymouth Ave—I believe the circulation shows it as a ped access point. However, in MIP discussions it has been made clear that there are easement conditions that may prohibit this. These have not, to my knowledge, been worked out. If this is not an acceptable access point, the figure should be adjusted and any ped circulation modeling should also take this into account (though I am sure that we're not assuming much ped access here).

We aren't sure what MIP discussions are, but we will pass this comment along to the project sponsor about how easement conditions may prohibit this as access. Regarding the request to update the figure, we did not model pedestrian circulation volumes, so we think the figures just showing access points would need to be updated (Figure 2-12, Proposed Street Type Plan, Figure 2-16: Proposed Dedicated and Shared Bicycle Circulation, and Figure 2-17: Representative Proposed Pedestrian Paseo Section). Are these the figures you are referring to? If the project sponsor would like to maintain the graphic showing San Ramon Way as a pedestrian access point in the EIR, it is their proposed project, so we would probably keep the figure as is and identify necessary approvals to making access happen.

WRT James' comment about Lee/Ocean—can you please send me the message that you are referencing from Amanda? Thanks

I attached the message in my first email as an outlook item, but I'm reattaching it as a pdf in case there were issues viewing it.

Carli

Carli Paine

Manager, Land Use Development and Transportation Integration Sustainable Streets Division



Office 415.646.2502 Mobile 415.837.3793

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency 1 South Van Ness Avenue, 7th floor San Francisco, CA 94103



From: White, Elizabeth (CPC) < elizabeth.white@sfgov.org>

Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 4:10 PM **To:** Paine, Carli < Carli Carli.Paine@sfmta.com>

Cc: Wietgrefe, Wade (CPC) < wade.wietgrefe@sfgov.org >; Poling, Jeanie (CPC) < jeanie.poling@sfgov.org >

Subject: Balboa Reservoir: Planning responses to FMTA comments on ADEIR-2

Hi Carli,

Attached are Planning's responses to SFMTA's comments. The color-coded key to the spreadsheet is included at the top of each sheet and one of the responses references the attached email from Amanda Leahy at Kittelson.

Two items we wanted to note:

- I left you a voicemail providing an update on the Balboa Reservoir EIR's cumulative transit impact analysis in
 consideration of City College recently adopting a Facilities Master Plan. As I mentioned in the voicemail, we will
 be seeking SFMTA's input on a new mitigation measure for this section to reduce potentially significant
 cumulative transit impacts.
- We wish MTA reviewers would have flagged many of their comments in ADEIR-1 review or in their review of technical appendices in fall 2018.

If you have any questions on our responses to SFMTA's comments, please let me know.

Thank you, Liz

Elizabeth White, Senior Environmental Planner Environmental Planning Division San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Direct: 415.575.6813 | www.sfplanning.org San Francisco Property Information Map